E-ISSN : 3062-3391

Eurasian Journal of Theoretical and Applied Sciences (EJTAS)
Volume 1, Issue 3, 2025, Page No: 9-17
Website: https://eurasian-journals.com/index.php/etjas/index

Ensemble Learning in Clinical Decision Support Systems: A Comprehensive Review of Approaches for Symptom Analysis and Real-Time Decision-Making

Esraa Imran Ameemin [1], Ahmed Salem Daw Alarga [2*], Abdussalam Ali Ahmed [3]
[1] Computer Science Department, Libyan Academy of Postgraduate Studies, Al Khoms, Libya
[2] Electrical Engineering Department, Elmergib University, Khums, Libya
[3] Mechanical and Industrial Engineering Department, Bani Waleed University, Libya

التعلم التجميعي في أنظمة دعم القرار السريري: مراجعة شاملة للأساليب المستخدمة في تحليل الأعراض واتخاذ القرار في الزمن الحقيقي

إسراء عمران اميمن [1]، أحمد سالم الأرقع [2]، عبد السلام علي أحمد [3]
[1] قسم علوم الحاسوب، أكاديمية الدراسات العليا فرع الخمس، ليبيا
[2] قسم الهندسة الكهربائية، جامعة المرقب، الخمس، ليبيا
[3] قسم الهندسة الميكانيكية والصناعية، جامعة بني وليد، ليبيا

[*] *Corresponding author: ahmedar82.as@gmail.com*

Received: May 20, 2025	Accepted: July 07, 2025	Published: July 15, 2025

Abstract:
Ensemble learning has become one of the most promising methods for clinical decision support as artificial intelligence is increasingly used in the medical industry, especially in symptom analysis and real-time decision-making. With an emphasis on model types, performance accuracy, interpretability, and their integration with medical sensors and smart devices, this paper offers a thorough overview of ensemble techniques used in Clinical Decision Support Systems (CDSS). Current issues with data privacy, technological constraints, and practicality in clinical settings are also highlighted in the research. It also provides a forward-looking viewpoint on how to improve these systems to deliver healthcare that is more precise, effective, and individualized.

Keywords: Clinical Decision Support Systems, Ensemble Learning, Symptom Analysis.

الملخص

أصبح التعلم التجميعي أحد أكثر الأساليب الواعدة في دعم القرار السريري، وذلك مع التزايد المستمر لاستخدام الذكاء الاصطناعي في المجال الطبي، وخصوصًا في تحليل الأعراض واتخاذ القرار في الزمن الحقيقي. يُقدّم هذا البحث مراجعة شاملة للتقنيات التجميعية المستخدمة في أنظمة دعم القرار السريري(CDSS) ، مع التركيز على أنواع النماذج، دقة الأداء، قابلية التفسير، واندماج هذه النماذج مع الحساسات الطبية والأجهزة الذكية. كما تسلط الدراسة الضوء على التحديات الحالية المتعلقة بخصوصية البيانات، والقيود التقنية، وإمكانية تطبيق هذه الأنظمة في البيئات السريرية الواقعية. بالإضافة إلى ذلك، تقدم الدراسة رؤية مستقبلية حول كيفية تطوير هذه الأنظمة لتقديم رعاية صحية أكثر دقة وفعالية وتخصيصًا.

الكلمات المفتاحية: أنظمة دعم القرار السريري، التعلم التجميعي، تحليل الأعراض.

Introduction

Healthcare systems worldwide are under increasing pressure to deliver accurate and timely diagnoses amid growing complexities in patient data. The rise of digital health records, wearable biosensors, medical imaging, and laboratory reports has introduced an overwhelming volume and variety of clinical data. This shift necessitates advanced analytical tools capable of extracting actionable insights from heterogeneous and often unstructured data sources. In this context, Artificial Intelligence (AI), particularly Machine Learning (ML), offers promising solutions by identifying subtle patterns and correlations in medical data that may be overlooked by conventional diagnostic methods [1][4].

Clinical Decision Support Systems (CDSS) represent a key application of ML in healthcare, functioning as intelligent assistants to healthcare professionals by suggesting diagnoses, risk stratifications, or treatment options based on patient symptoms, medical history, and physiological parameters [2][9]. However, relying on single-model algorithms presents notable limitations. These include issues such as overfitting, sensitivity to noise, and poor generalization due to class imbalances—challenges that are especially prevalent in real-world clinical datasets. To address these challenges, Ensemble Learning has emerged as an advanced approach that combines predictions from multiple base models, often heterogeneous in nature, to improve overall accuracy and robustness. By integrating diverse perspectives on the same data, ensemble methods help reduce individual model errors and increase the reliability of the system [3][13]. Popular ensemble techniques such as Bagging, Boosting, and Stacking have demonstrated superior performance in various medical domains, including cancer diagnostics, cardiovascular disease prediction, and diabetes classification. This paper presents a comprehensive review of the application of ensemble learning in CDSS, focusing on the methodologies, algorithms, and performance metrics employed. In addition, it examines the technical and practical challenges facing the integration of ensemble-based systems in clinical settings. Special attention is given to the balance between predictive accuracy and model interpretability, a critical requirement for building clinician trust and ensuring patient safety in real-world applications.

Overview of Ensemble Learning

Ensemble Learning is considered one of the most powerful and widely used techniques in machine learning. It involves the combination of multiple individual models to build a unified, more accurate, and reliable predictive model. This approach is particularly well-suited for medical applications, where the precision of results and minimization of diagnostic errors are critically important for patient safety and clinical decision-making [13][27].

Unlike traditional machine learning methods, where a single model is built using one algorithm, such as Logistic Regression or a Decision Tree, and trained on a portion of the dataset (training set) and validated on the remaining portion (test set), ensemble learning creates a collection of models either using the same algorithm or a combination of different algorithms. In conventional single-model approaches, results can be acceptable for small-scale problems or when the objective is to derive relatively simple outcomes. However, their performance often deteriorates when dealing with complex or high-dimensional medical data. Ensemble methods, on the other hand, leverage the strengths of multiple models to offset their individual weaknesses. By aggregating predictions—whether through majority voting, averaging, or weighted strategies, ensemble learning improves generalization and reduces the risk of overfitting. Techniques such as Bagging (e.g., Random Forest), Boosting (e.g., AdaBoost, XGBoost), and Stacking represent the most common ensemble frameworks used in healthcare and other data-intensive domains. Numerous studies have demonstrated that ensemble learning significantly enhances the performance of machine learning models, especially when applied to large, heterogeneous, and noisy datasets often found in the healthcare sector [28][29][30].

Its ability to combine different learning perspectives leads to more robust and confident decisions, making it a key enabler in the development of intelligent Clinical Decision Support Systems (CDSS).

Methods Used to Build Ensemble Learning Models

The effectiveness of ensemble learning significantly depends on the method employed to combine the base models within the ensemble framework. These methods aim to improve prediction accuracy and reduce bias and variance in model performance. Several strategies have been developed to aggregate machine learning models, among the most prominent are: Voting, Bagging, Boosting, and Stacking. Each method is based on a different statistical or learning principle that contributes to enhancing the overall ensemble's performance. Below is a detailed description of these key approaches [3][23][24]:

a) Voting

Voting is one of the simplest and most widely used ensemble techniques. It involves utilizing a set of independent models and then aggregating their outputs to arrive at a final decision. Voting can be implemented in two main forms:

- **Hard Voting**: The class label predicted by the majority of models is selected as the final output. This method is effective in reducing the influence of outlier models.
- **Soft Voting**: This method calculates the average or weighted probabilities predicted by each model and selects the class with the highest average probability. Soft voting tends to be more accurate when models can provide probabilistic outputs.

Voting methods help balance between different predictive models, especially when combining heterogeneous algorithms.

*b) **Bagging (Bootstrap Aggregating)***

Bagging aims to reduce variance by training multiple models on different random subsets of the training data, obtained by sampling with replacement (bootstrap sampling). Each model learns on a slightly different dataset, and their predictions are combined, usually by majority voting or averaging.

A well-known example of bagging is the Random Forest algorithm, which aggregates numerous decision trees to improve stability and accuracy. Bagging effectively mitigates overfitting and enhances the robustness of models in variable data environments.

*c) **Boosting***

Boosting is an advanced ensemble technique based on sequential training. Models are trained one after another, where each new model attempts to correct the errors of the previous one by focusing more on the misclassified instances. This adaptive process reduces bias and improves model performance progressively.

Popular boosting algorithms include AdaBoost, Gradient Boosting, and XGBoost, widely used in high-stakes domains such as medical diagnosis due to their superior accuracy.

*d) **Stacking***

Stacking involves training multiple base learners (e.g., SVM, KNN, decision trees) independently on the same dataset to produce initial predictions. These predictions are then used as inputs to a higher-level model called a meta-learner, which learns to combine them optimally and generate the final output.

Though more complex, stacking offers great flexibility in integrating diverse algorithms, often resulting in enhanced generalization and superior predictive accuracy.

These ensemble methods form the foundation of many AI-driven clinical decision support systems, increasingly leveraged to improve diagnostic accuracy and symptom analysis, especially in complex cases and when dealing with large-scale, heterogeneous medical data.

Key Advantages of Ensemble Learning-Based Models

Models built using ensemble learning techniques possess several key advantages that make them highly suitable for healthcare applications and clinical decision support systems. They contribute to enhancing the accuracy and reliability of diagnoses and improving overall model performance. Below is a detailed explanation of the most important of these advantages:

a. Improved Accuracy and Robustness:

Combining multiple machine learning models helps reduce the problem of overfitting, where a system relies on a single model that may be overly sensitive to training data. Instead, the system aggregates diverse predictions to improve the model's generalization ability and its responsiveness to previously unseen data, leading to more accurate and stable results in dynamic data environments [13][24].

b. **Handling High-Dimensional and Complex Data:**

Medical data often contain nonlinear and intertwined patterns that individual machine learning models struggle to sufficiently discover and analyze. Ensemble learning techniques help better extract these complex patterns by leveraging the diversity of base models, making them more capable of managing the complexities and interactions within medical data [16][18].

c. Better Performance on Imbalanced Data:

Imbalanced data, such as rare or critical medical cases, pose a significant challenge because traditional models tend to overlook these instances. Ensemble models increase the system's sensitivity to such rare cases by focusing on improving the accuracy of their predictions, thus enhancing the quality of diagnoses and healthcare services provided [14].

d. Reduction of Bias and Variance:

Aggregating multiple models reduces the impact of individual model errors, improving the stability and consistency of medical predictions. Ensemble models also help lower bias caused by assumptions or limitations within base models, which is crucial for achieving reliable diagnoses based on diverse data [17].

e. Ability to Explain Decision-Making:

Despite the complexity that may accompany ensemble learning systems, their integration with explainable AI techniques enhances the transparency of the medical decision-making process. This contributes to increasing the trust of physicians and healthcare practitioners in the system's results and allows them to understand the reasons behind predictions, strengthening reliance on these systems for critical clinical decisions [20].

Thus, ensemble learning models combine performance accuracy, reliability, and the ability to handle complex and diverse medical data, making them an ideal choice to enhance clinical decision support systems and improve healthcare quality.

Challenges and Limitations:

Despite the many advantages and benefits offered by ensemble learning methods in various fields, especially in the medical domain, there are several fundamental limitations and challenges that may hinder achieving optimal performance or wide adoption of these models. The main challenges can be summarized as follows:

- Difficulty in Interpretation:

One of the most prominent challenges facing ensemble learning methods is the issue of explainability and transparency. These methods rely on combining numerous base models, resulting in a complex and intricate model whose decision-making mechanism is difficult to interpret. This complexity makes it challenging for doctors and nurses to understand the reasons behind the model's recommendations or outputs, creating a lack of trust when relying on these models for critical healthcare decisions. Furthermore, regulatory requirements in some countries demand clear explanations for AI-driven decisions, which adds an additional barrier to the implementation of such technologies in the healthcare sector [17].

- High Computational Cost:

Ensemble learning methods require substantial computational resources during both training and inference phases. Each base model in the ensemble demands significant memory and processing power, increasing training time and financial costs associated with using advanced hardware such as GPUs or cloud computing services. This cost may not be affordable in many hospitals or healthcare centers with limited resources, restricting the widespread adoption of these methods in such environments [15].

- Integration Challenges:

Integrating ensemble learning models with existing health information systems and electronic health records presents significant challenges. Complex models may need coordination across multiple databases and platforms, which may not easily align with legacy or non-standardized systems. Additionally, transferring data between systems and deploying models within healthcare infrastructure must be done securely and efficiently, maintaining patient data privacy and confidentiality, thereby complicating the integration process and requiring substantial efforts in designing advanced and compatible APIs [18][9].

- Data Quality:

Data quality plays a critical role in the success of ensemble learning models, particularly in the medical field where high accuracy is essential. Despite using advanced techniques to address data imbalance or error correction, medical data often suffer from issues such as missing values, measurement noise, or variability in data collection methods across institutions. This directly affects model accuracy and generalizability, increasing the risk of misleading or unreliable results, which can negatively impact patient health. Therefore, intensive efforts are always needed to clean and improve data quality before model development [20].

Methodologies and Techniques in Ensemble Learning-Based Decision Support Systems:

Ensemble learning-based decision support systems are considered among the most important approaches used in medical applications that require high accuracy in prediction, outcome estimation, and subsequently providing appropriate treatment recommendations. Building and developing these systems requires integrated methodologies and techniques that are implemented in several stages as follows:

a. Data Collection and Sensors

The quality of collected data is an indispensable foundation for building effective decision support systems. These data can be obtained from various sources including electronic health records, wearable sensors (such as heart rate monitors and glucose sensors), imaging devices, and patient report results [6][7]. Wearable devices and the

Internet of Medical Things (IoMT) also provide continuous real-time data that are crucial for building accurate predictive models [11].

b. Data Processing and Feature Extraction

The data processing and feature extraction stage involves two main steps:

- **Data cleaning:** This process includes removing missing values and eliminating erroneous data to enhance model accuracy [20][18].
- **Feature selection or extraction:** Statistical techniques and machine learning algorithms are used to select the most important features contained within the dataset to simplify the model and increase its efficiency [20][16]. Additionally, Recursive Feature Elimination (RFE) is used to improve input quality and reduce dimensionality [14].

c. Model Selection and Training

Building the learning models used in ensemble learning-based decision support systems is a fundamental step in their development. The main models and techniques used include:

- **Random Forest (RF):** Noise-resistant, relatively easy to interpret [20], and effective with high-dimensional data [14].
- **Support Vector Machines (SVM):** Strong in high-dimensional spaces [18] and effective when classes are non-linear [14].
- **Gradient Boosting Machines (GBM):** A progressive training model that enhances prediction power and handles various data types [17].
- **Hybrid Models and Soft Voting:** Combines results from different models with relative weights to improve final accuracy [19][13].

d. Model Evaluation

Evaluating the learning model is an important step in building decision support systems, as it ensures the system's efficiency and accuracy when applied to new data in real-world settings. Evaluation is performed through two essential steps:

- Splitting the data into three groups to ensure fair evaluation, with proportions of 70% for training, 15% for validation, and 15% for testing [25].
- Using cross-validation to tune hyperparameters and improve result reliability [15].

Performance Metrics

Evaluating the performance quality of ensemble learning models through precise and robust metrics such as sensitivity, specificity, F1-score, AUC, and others represents a crucial step in assessing the effectiveness of these models used in sensitive and precise environments like clinical decision support. Performance metrics vary depending on the problem type, whether classification, regression, or others. The key metrics by task type are as follows:

- **Accuracy:** A general measure, though it may be misleading when there is an imbalance between data classes, such as when one class dominates the dataset [20].
- **Recall / Sensitivity:** Highly important in medical applications for minimizing missed positive cases in diagnosis, thus preventing worsening or complications of health conditions [20].
- **Precision:** Affected by false positives, and is critical to avoid misdiagnoses and unnecessary treatments [20].
- **F1-Score:** The harmonic means of precision and recall, balancing false positive and false negative errors [20].
- **ROC Curve and AUC (Receiver Operating Characteristic & Area Under the Curve):** A comprehensive metric for evaluating ensemble learning model performance, assessing the trade-off between sensitivity and specificity [15].
- **Specificity:** Measures the model's ability to correctly identify negative cases and thus avoid false alarms [20][15].

Ensemble Learning as an Effective Tool in Clinical Decision Support

- Ensemble learning is an advanced technique in the field of artificial intelligence that combines multiple machine learning models to improve prediction accuracy and reduce errors. In the context of healthcare, recent studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of this technique in enhancing the performance of Clinical Decision Support Systems (CDSS). For example, a study conducted on patients undergoing coronary artery bypass graft surgery showed that the XCL model, which integrates XGBoost, CatBoost, and LightGBM, outperformed the traditional EuroSCORE II model in predicting in-hospital mortality, achieving an AUC of 0.9145 in the internal cohort [31].

- Additionally, another study demonstrated that applying ensemble learning with a stacking strategy to predict the recovery rate of patients with degenerative cervical myelopathy, where three machine learning models, SVM, EmbeddingLR, and AdaBoost, were combined using Support Vector Machines, resulted in improved prediction accuracy compared to individual models [32].

- Furthermore, a study based on ensemble learning was conducted to diagnose breast cancer using ultrasound images, where three deep learning models (VGG-16, VGG-19, and SqueezeNet) were combined for feature extraction. The results showed that integrating these algorithms contributed to enhancing tumor classification accuracy [27].

- Most studies related to the role of ensemble learning in clinical decision support provide in-depth insights into its applications in this field, with varying objectives, methodologies, and results, highlighting both challenges and opportunities. Compared to traditional machine learning approaches based on a single model, modern machine learning techniques that integrate multiple models and derive a final result from their combined outputs significantly improve medical diagnosis accuracy, as well as deliver effective performance that aids physicians [10].
- In this regard, a hybrid model combining Random Forest (RF) and Support Vector Machines (SVM) was developed for diagnosing heart diseases, where RF was used for feature selection and SVM for classifying cases based on the selected features. This approach achieved high sensitivity and accuracy while reducing errors, with an emphasis on the need for real clinical trials to enhance application and tools for decision interpretation [14].
- Furthermore, a clinical decision support system based on ensemble learning techniques was developed to analyze large health datasets, utilizing a soft voting model that integrates Random Forest (RF), Support Vector Machines (SVM), and Gradient Boosting Machines (GBM). The results demonstrated a clear improvement in diagnostic accuracy compared to traditional single-model approaches, along with greater robustness to noise. However, challenges such as the need for high computational resources for interpreting and analyzing results posed obstacles for this system [13].
- Another study involved combining algorithms including RF, GBM, and SVM to design a web application aimed at early diagnosis of COVID-19, yielding promising results with an accuracy of 98.84% [15]. Similarly, the performance of various algorithms such as Multinomial Naive Bayes (MNB), RF, and SVM was evaluated after integrating them within a unified framework to predict diabetes symptoms. The results showed enhanced performance through the ensemble approach, while emphasizing the need to reduce training time and improve result interpretability.
- Additionally, a study on ensemble methods including Boosting, Bagging, and Stacking indicated that these techniques clearly improve the performance of predictive machine learning models. The results demonstrated high-quality performance and accuracy, particularly in applications used for medical diagnosis [26].

Challenges and Future Directions:

- Interpretability and Trust:

The complexity of ensemble models hinders understanding of medical decisions. Developing Explainable AI techniques is a priority to enhance physicians' trust [20].

- Integration of Systems into Clinical Workflow:

Decision support systems must be compatible with electronic health information systems to provide immediate support without disrupting clinical workflow [9].

- Data Diversity and Privacy:

Expanding datasets to include diverse populations and multimodal data (such as images and genomics) is essential to improve generalizability, while strictly adhering to patient privacy through techniques like Federated Learning [6].

- Validation in Real-world Settings:

Pragmatic clinical trials are required to evaluate the actual performance of ensemble models in terms of their impact on health outcomes and physician satisfaction [14].

Conclusion:

This review demonstrates that ensemble learning techniques represent a fundamental pillar in the development of clinical decision support systems, proving superior to traditional single models in terms of accuracy and performance stability. Their strength lies in their ability to reduce medical errors and improve prediction reliability, making them an effective tool in supporting personalized medicine and delivering more precise healthcare. However, there remains a need to design interpretable and user-friendly models to ensure acceptance by healthcare professionals. Continuous advancements in sensing technologies and data integration further enhance the potential to deploy these models in real clinical environments. Accordingly, maximizing the benefits of ensemble learning requires multidisciplinary collaboration among AI experts, healthcare practitioners, and policymakers in the healthcare sector.

Recommendations

Based on the findings presented in this review, several recommendations can be made to enhance the effectiveness of ensemble learning techniques within Clinical Decision Support Systems (CDSS):

1. Enhance interpretability and transparency: Efforts should focus on developing more interpretable ensemble models to ensure that healthcare professionals understand the system’s decisions, thereby increasing their trust and adoption.
2. Improve integration with healthcare infrastructure: These systems should be designed to integrate seamlessly with existing electronic health records (EHRs) and medical devices commonly used in clinical settings.
3. Prioritize data privacy and security: Ensuring the protection of sensitive health data is critical, and techniques such as encryption and on-device processing should be prioritized wherever possible.
4. Conduct large-scale field evaluations: To assess the real-world performance of ensemble models, applied studies involving diverse and representative clinical cases are recommended.
5. Encourage interdisciplinary collaboration: Building teams that include AI specialists, clinicians, engineers, and policymakers is essential to ensure that technical solutions align with clinical realities and needs.
6. Invest in infrastructure and human resources: This includes providing proper training for medical staff on how to use AI systems effectively and allocating resources to support the widespread adoption of these technologies.

References

[1] F. Jiang et al., “Artificial intelligence in healthcare: past, present and future,” Stroke and Vascular Neurology, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 230–243, 2017. DOI: 10.1136/svn-2017-000101.

[2] R. T. Sutton et al., “An overview of clinical decision support systems: benefits, risks, and strategies for success,” NPJ Digital Medicine, vol. 3, no. 1, 2020. DOI: 10.1038/s41746-020-0221-y.

[3] L. Rokach, “Ensemble-based classifiers,” Artificial Intelligence Review, vol. 33, nos. 1–2, pp. 1–39, 2010. DOI: 10.1007/s10462-009-9124-7.

[4] A. Rajkomar, J. Dean, and I. Kohane, “Machine learning in medicine,” New England Journal of Medicine, vol. 380, no. 14, pp. 1347–1358, 2019.

[5] S. R. Alli, S. Q. Hossain, S. Das, and R. Upshur, “The potential of artificial intelligence tools for reducing uncertainty in medicine and directions for medical education,” JMIR Med. Educ., vol. 10, p. e51446, 2024. DOI: 10.2196/51446.

[6] S. A. Butt et al., “Remote mobile health monitoring frameworks and mobile applications: taxonomy, open challenges, motivation, and recommendations,” Eng. Appl. Artif. Intell., vol. 133, p. 108233, 2024.

[7] Y. Hew, D. Kutuk, T. Duzcu, Y. Ergun, and M. Basar, “Artificial intelligence in IVF laboratories: Elevating outcomes through precision and efficiency,” Biology, vol. 13, no. 12, p. 988, 2024.

[8] P. V. Vezeteu, A. D. Andronescu, and D. I. Năstac, “A literature review on artificial intelligence in dermatological diagnosis and tissue microscopy,” IEEE Photonics Journal, vol. 17, no. 3, p. 114, 2025.

[9] J. Vidal-Alaball, R. Panadés Zafra, A. Escalé-Besa, and A. Martinez-Millana, “The artificial intelligence revolution in primary care: Challenges, dilemmas and opportunities,” Aten. Primaria, vol. 56, no. 2, p. 102820, 2024.

[10] S. Kolomoiets, “Concepts of creating an intelligent medical diagnostic system to assist in the work and training of doctors based on artificial intelligence,” Information Technology and Society, vol. 5, no. 11, pp. 28–33, 2024. DOI: 10.32689/maup.it.2023.5.4.

[11] Y. Mao and L. Zhang, “Optimization of the medical service consultation system based on the artificial intelligence of the internet of things,” IEEE Access, vol. 9, pp. 98261–98274, 2021. DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3096188.

[12] K. Karako, P. Song, Y. Chen, and W. Tang, “New possibilities for medical support systems utilizing artificial intelligence (AI) and data platforms,” Biosci. Trends, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 186–189, 2023.

[13] P. S. Mung and S. Phyu, “Effective Analytics on Healthcare Big Data Using Ensemble Learning,” 2020 IEEE Conference on Computer Applications (ICCA), Yangon, Myanmar, pp. 1–6, 2020. DOI: 10.1109/ICCA49400.2020.9022853.

[14] T. A. Assegie, T. Suresh, S. Rajkumar, and N. K. Kumar, “A hybrid approach to medical decision-making: Diagnosis of heart disease with machine-learning model,” Int. J. Elect. Comput. Eng. (IJECE), vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 1831–1838, Apr. 2022. DOI: 10.11591/ijece.v12i2.pp1831-1838.

[15] C. N. Villavicencio et al., “Development of a machine learning based web application for early diagnosis of COVID-19 based on symptoms,” Diagnostics, vol. 12, no. 4, p. 821, Apr. 2022. DOI: 10.3390/diagnostics12040821.

[16] B. Makhkamov et al., “Predicting multiple diseases using machine learning: A symptom-based model for medical diagnosis,” J. Ambient Intell. Humaniz. Comput., vol. 14, no. 8, pp. 10245–10258, 2023.

[17] C. A. Stevens et al., “Ensemble machine learning methods in screening electronic health records: A scoping review,” Digital Health, vol. 9, p. 20552076231173225, 2023. DOI: 10.1177/20552076231173225

[18] W. W. Khine and Z. Tun, “Diabetes prediction based on machine learning algorithms: MNB, Random Forest, SVM,” in 2024 5th International Conference on Advanced Information Technologies (ICAIT), Yangon, Myanmar, pp. 1–6, 2024. DOI: 10.1109/ICAIT65209.2024.10754937.

[19] M. A. Mim, N. Majadi, and P. Mazumder, “A soft voting ensemble learning approach for credit card fraud detection,” Heliyon, vol. 10, no. 3, p. e25466, Feb. 2024.

[20] H. El-Sofany, B. Bouallegue, and Y. M. A. El-Latif, “A proposed technique for predicting heart disease using machine learning algorithms and an explainable AI method,” Sci. Rep., vol. 14, p. 23277, Dec. 2024.

[21] B. Uddin, M. Marimin, S. Wahjuni, and B. I. Setiawan, “Integration of RF-SVM hybrid machine learning model and IoT for water demand prediction and irrigation automation: A systematic literature review and future research,” J. Inf. Syst. Eng. Manag., vol. 10, no. 33s, pp. 481–501, 2025.

[22] S. Kaur, M. Arora, and A. Kaur, “Machine learning algorithms for healthcare sector: A survey,” Int. J. Innov. Res. Eng., vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 544–546, 2023. DOI: 10.59256/ijire.2023040224.

[23] Z.-H. Zhou, Ensemble Methods: Foundations and Algorithms. Boca Raton, FL: Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2012. DOI: 10.1201/b12207.

[24] Scikit-learn Developers, “Ensembles: Gradient boosting, random forests, bagging, voting, stacking,” in Scikit-learn User Guide, scikit-learn developers, 2007–2025.

[25] K. V. S. S. Ramakrishna et al., “Diabetes Prediction Using Random Forest Algorithm,” Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research (JETIR), vol. 11, no. 11, pp. 166–175, Nov. 2024.

[26] A. Jadama and M. Toray, “Ensemble Learning: Methods, Techniques, Application,” 2024.

[27] Ragab M, Albukhari A, Alyami J, Mansour RF. Ensemble Deep-Learning-Enabled Clinical Decision Support System for Breast Cancer Diagnosis and Classification on Ultrasound Images. Biology (Basel). 2022 Mar 14;11(3):439.

[28] N. Ramteke and P. Maidamwar, "Cardiac Patient Data Classification Using Ensemble Machine Learning Technique," 2023 14th International Conference on Computing Communication and Networking Technologies (ICCCNT), Delhi, India, 2023, pp. 1-6.

[29] Y. Ibrahim, E. Okafor, B. Yahaya, S. M. Yusuf, Z. M. Abubakar and U. Y. Bagaye, "Comparative Study of Ensemble Learning Techniques for Text Classification," 2021 1st International Conference on Multidisciplinary Engineering and Applied Science (ICMEAS), Abuja, Nigeria, 2021, pp. 1-5.

[30] M. Shah, K. Gandhi, K. A. Patel, H. Kantawala, R. Patel and A. Kothari, "Theoretical Evaluation of Ensemble Machine Learning Techniques," 2023 5th International Conference on Smart Systems and Inventive Technology (ICSSIT), Tirunelveli, India, 2023, pp. 829-837.

[31] Xu K, Shan L, Bai Y, Shi Y, Lv M, Li W, Dai H, Zhang X, Wang Z, Li Z, Li M, Zhao X, Zhang Y. The clinical applications of ensemble machine learning based on the Bagging strategy for in-hospital mortality of coronary artery bypass grafting surgery. Heliyon. 2024 Sep 26;10(19):e38435.

[32] Cai, Z., Sun, Q., Li, C. et al. Machine-learning-based prediction by stacking ensemble strategy for surgical outcomes in patients with degenerative cervical myelopathy. J Orthop Surg Res 19, 539 (2024).