

Volume 1, Issue 2, 2025, Page No: 21-28 Website: https://eurasian-journals.com/index.php/ejher/index

# The Crime of Money Laundering in Light of International **Standards**

Mohammed Muneer Adnan Adnan<sup>1\*</sup>, Hiba Khaleel Abdullah<sup>2</sup>, Hadeel Faris Hasan Hasan<sup>3</sup> <sup>1,2</sup> University of Information Technology and Communications, Baghdad, Iraq <sup>3</sup> Aliraqia University, Baghdad, Iraq

# جريمة غسيل الأموال في ضوء المعايير الدولية

محمد منير عدنان عدنان 1\*، هبة خليل عبد الله 2، هديل فارس حسن حسن 3 2.1 جامعة تكنولوجيا المعلومات والاتصالات، بغداد، العراق 3 الحامعة العر اقبة، بغداد، العر اق

\*Corresponding author: <u>mohammed.muneer@uoitc.edu.iq</u>

Received: April 14, 2025	Accepted: June 10, 2025	Published: June 15, 2025
Abstract		

This research paper provides a comprehensive analysis of the crime of money laundering within the framework of international standards. It highlights the devastating economic and social impacts of money laundering, emphasizing its complex and evolving nature that transcends geographical boundaries. The paper examines key international legislative instruments and recommendations, including the United Nations Convention Against Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances (1988), the European Convention (1990), the Financial Action Task Force (FATF) recommendations, the Basel Recommendations (1988), the Wolfsberg Principles, and Security Council Resolution 1373 (2001). It assesses the adequacy and effectiveness of these mechanisms in combating money laundering, particularly in light of rapid technological advancements. The study underscores the critical need for continuous updates to national legal systems to align with international standards, thereby enhancing global efforts to counter sophisticated money laundering methods and protect the integrity of the financial system.

Keywords: Money laundering, international standards, combating financial crime, FATF, United Nations conventions.

الملخص تقدم هذه الورقة البحثية تحليلًا شاملًا لجريمة غسيل الأموال في إطار المعايير الدولية، مع تسليط الضوء على الآثار الاقتصادية والأجتماعية المدمرة لهذه الجريمة، والتي تتسم بطابعها المعقد والمتطور العابر للحدود الجغرافية. تدرس الورقة أبرز الصكوك والتوصيات التشريعية الدولية، بما في ذلك أتفاقية الأمم المتحدة لمكافحة الاتجار غير المشروع بالمخدرات والمؤثرات العقلية (1988)، والاتفاقية الأوروبية (1990)، وتوصّيات فرقة العمل المالي (FATF)، وتوصيات بازل (1988)، ومبادئ وولفسبر غ، وقر أر مجلس الأمن رقم 1373 (2001). كما تقيِّم الدراسة مدى كفاءة وفعالية هذه الأليات في مكافحة غسيل الأموال، خاصة في ظل التطورات التكنولوجية المتسارعة. وتؤكد على الحاجة الملحة لتحديث الأنظمة القانونية الوطَّنية بشكل مستمر لتتوافق مع المعايِّير الدولية، مما يعزز الجهود العالمية لمواجهة أساليب غسيل الأموال المتطورة وحماية نزاهة النظام المالي.

الكلمات المفتاحية: غسيل الأموال، المعايير الدولية، مكافحة الجريمة المالية، FATF، اتفاقيات الأمم المتحدة.

#### Introduction

Money laundering is considered one of the most dangerous economic crimes facing the international community in the modern era, due to its devastating negative effects on national and global economies, and on the stability of financial and banking systems. This crime transcends geographical boundaries and takes complex and evolving forms, making its combat a major challenge that requires concerted international efforts and the development of effective legal and legislative mechanisms. Money laundering aims to legitimize funds obtained from criminal activities such as drug trafficking, arms dealing, corruption, human trafficking, and others, by introducing them into the legitimate financial system to conceal their illicit origin. This research paper aims to shed light on the crime of money laundering in light of international standards, focusing on the correct academic structure, and providing a comprehensive analysis of international legislation and recommendations aimed at combating this phenomenon.

#### **Importance of the Research**

Studying the crime of money laundering is of paramount importance in light of the increasing challenges posed by this crime to the economic and social security of nations. International and local legislators have recognized the seriousness of this crime, which has led to the issuance of numerous international conventions and recommendations aimed at criminalizing money laundering and developing mechanisms to combat it. The importance of this research lies in its endeavor to understand these international mechanisms and evaluate their effectiveness in curbing the spread of this crime. It also aims to provide insights into the need to update and develop national legal systems to comply with international standards, thereby ensuring countries' ability to confront the sophisticated methods used by perpetrators of these crimes.

#### **Problem Statement**

Despite international efforts to combat money laundering, this crime remains a major challenge. The main problem of this research stems from questions about the adequacy of international mechanisms adopted to combat money laundering, and their impact on curbing this crime. Have these mechanisms been able to keep pace with the rapid development in money laundering methods, especially with the emergence of modern technology and advanced communication means? What are the challenges facing the implementation of these international standards on the ground? This research seeks to answer these questions through a comprehensive analysis of international legislation and recommendations, and an evaluation of their effectiveness in achieving the desired goals in combating money laundering.

#### **Chapter One: International Legislation**

International legislation forms the cornerstone of global efforts to combat the crime of money laundering. International organizations and governments have strived to establish unified legal frameworks to criminalize these acts and facilitate cross-border cooperation. This chapter aims to review the most prominent of these legislations and analyze their role in building a global system for combating money laundering.

# Section One: The United Nations Convention Against Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances (1988)

This Convention, adopted in Vienna in 1988, is known as the "Vienna Convention." It represents a significant turning point in the fight against money laundering at the international level, as it was the first international instrument to explicitly focus on criminalizing money laundering related to drug offenses. The Convention stipulated the necessity of criminalizing acts related to illicit trafficking in narcotic drugs and psychotropic substances, in addition to criminalizing money laundering proceeds from these crimes [1].

The Convention included essential conditions for the existence of a money laundering crime: the first element is the existence of funds derived from an original crime (in this case, drug offenses). The second element is the perpetrator's actions aimed at concealing or disguising the illicit origin of these funds, or assisting any person involved in committing the original crime to evade the legal consequences of their actions [1].

Among the most important articles in this Convention is Article Five, which addressed the issue of confiscation. It obligated State Parties to take necessary measures to enable the confiscation of proceeds from drug offenses and money laundering, or properties equivalent in value [1]. Article Seven also

stipulated the principle of mutual legal assistance, obliging States to provide the widest measure of assistance to each other in investigations, prosecutions, and judicial proceedings related to crimes covered by the Convention [1].

Emphasizing the importance of this Convention, the Declaration of the Organization was issued at the Twentieth Special Session of the United Nations General Assembly in New York on June 10, 1998, which stressed the need to enhance international cooperation to combat the global drug problem, including related money laundering [2].

#### Section Two: The European Convention (1990)

In 1990, the Council of Europe member states adopted the Strasbourg Convention on Laundering, Search, Seizure and Confiscation of the Proceeds from Crime, which is considered a significant step in developing the legal framework for combating money laundering. Unlike the United Nations Convention, which focused on money laundering related to drug offenses, the European Convention criminalized money laundering itself, regardless of the type of predicate offense from which the funds originated [3].

This Convention established the principles to be followed to enhance cooperation between states in combating money laundering, and included mechanisms for mutual legal assistance and confiscation. It also emphasized the acceptance of foreign confiscation and foreign sanction provisions, which facilitates the recovery of laundered funds located in other jurisdictions [3].

One of the most important provisions of this Convention is the necessity of taking measures to trace laundered funds and lifting banking secrecy to facilitate the tracking of suspicious financial transactions. Article Six of the Convention explicitly criminalized money laundering operations, reflecting the commitment of European states to comprehensively combat this crime [3].

#### Section Three: The Financial Action Task Force (FATF)

The Financial Action Task Force (FATF) is an intergovernmental body established in 1989 on the initiative of the G7 countries to combat money laundering, terrorist financing, and proliferation financing. FATF is recognized as the global standard-setter in this field, working to develop and promote policies to protect the global financial system from these crimes [4].

FATF has issued a set of recommendations, known as the "Forty Recommendations," which constitute the global standard for combating money laundering and terrorist f inancing. These recommendations cover a wide range of measures that countries should implement, including criminalizing money laundering, confiscation measures, customer due diligence measures, reporting suspicious transactions, and international cooperation [4].

These recommendations have been revised several times to keep pace with developments in money laundering and terrorist financing methods, most notably in 1996, 2003, and 2012. These revisions ensure that the recommendations remain effective and relevant to new challenges [4].

FATF cooperates closely with similar regional bodies, conducts continuous studies on new money laundering and terrorist financing methods, and issues guidance to help countries effectively implement the recommendations. Table 1 summarizes the most important recommendations of the Financial Action Task Force (FATF):

Number	Recommendation	
1	States must take necessary measures to criminalize money laundering.	
2	States must take necessary measures to confiscate laundered funds and proceeds.	
3	Financial institutions must not open anonymous accounts, verify customer identity, and maintain records.	
4	Financial institutions must monitor cash transactions and report them when exceeding a certain amount.	
5	Financial institutions must establish anti-money laundering programs that include staff training and qualification.	
6	Information exchange between states automatically or upon request.	

7	International bodies must collect information related to the evolution of money
	laundering operations and techniques used.
8	International cooperation must be based on bilateral or multilateral legal agreements and
	arrangements that allow for extradition.

[1] United Nations Convention Against Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances, Vienna, 1988. [2] Declaration of the Organization, Twentieth Special Session of the United Nations General Assembly, New York, June 10, 1998. [3] Council of Europe Convention on Laundering, Search, Seizure and Confiscation of the Proceeds from Crime, Strasbourg, 1990. [4] Financial Action Task Force (FATF), The Forty Recommendations on Combating Money Laundering, Terrorist Financing and Proliferation Financing, various revisions (1996, 2003, 2012).

#### **Chapter Two: International Recommendations and Principles**

In addition to binding international legislation, recommendations and principles issued by international bodies and groups play a vital role in shaping the global framework for combating money laundering. These recommendations provide practical guidance to states and financial institutions to strengthen their defenses against this complex crime. This chapter reviews the most prominent of these recommendations and principles.

#### Section One: Basel Recommendations (1988)

The Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, established in 1974, is one of the most important international bodies concerned with promoting global financial stability by improving the quality of banking supervision. In 1988, the Basel Committee issued a set of principles known as the "Basel Recommendations," which included important guidelines for banks to combat money laundering. Although these recommendations were not legally binding, they became a global standard for good banking practices [5].

The 1988 Basel Recommendations focused on four basic principles that bankers should follow to combat money laundering:

- 1. **Know Your Customer (KYC):** Banks must investigate the identity of their customers and the legitimacy of their businesses, and understand the nature of their banking relationships. This principle aims to prevent the use of banks as channels for money laundering.
- 2. **Compliance with Laws:** Banks must operate in accordance with high professional standards and respect local and international laws and regulations related to combating money laundering.
- 3. Cooperation with Law Enforcement Agencies: Banks must fully cooperate with competent national authorities in combating money laundering, and provide required information in a timely manner.
- 4. Adherence to the Declaration of Principles: Banks must adopt internal policies consistent with the Declaration of Principles issued by the Basel Committee and implement them effectively.

The Basel Recommendations have evolved over the years to include "Basel II" and "Basel IV," which addressed broader aspects of banking risk management, including money laundering risks. These recommendations are based on three main pillars:

• **Pillar One:** A new method for calculating risk-weighted capital adequacy, ensuring that banks have sufficient capital to cover the risks they face.

• **Pillar Two:** Ensuring an effective method for internal and external review and oversight processes, to ensure banks' compliance with supervisory standards.

• **Pillar Three:** An effective discipline system that requires disclosure of capital and exposure to risks, which enhances transparency and accountability in the banking sector [5].

Table 3 illustrates the Basel principles for combating money laundering, and Table 4 illustrates the main pillars of the Basel recommendations.

#### Section Two: Wolfsberg Principles

The Wolfsberg Principles are a unique initiative, launched in October 2000 by a group of leading private banks worldwide with the aim of developing guidelines for combating money laundering and terrorist financing. These principles emerged in response to a number of financial scandals that revealed loopholes in anti-money laundering systems in financial institutions [6].

The Wolfsberg Principles are voluntary and not legally binding, but they are considered a standard for best practices in the banking sector. These principles focus on several aspects, including:

• Guidelines for Banks: The Wolfsberg Principles provide detailed guidelines for banks on how to establish and maintain banking relationships with customers, with an emphasis on assessing risks associated with customers, products, and services.

• Focus on High-Risk Clients: The principles pay special attention to high-risk clients, especially those from countries with a reputation for crime or corruption, and emphasize the need for enhanced due diligence for these clients.

• **Internal Controls:** The principles encourage banks to establish strong internal controls to combat money laundering, including employee training programs, suspicious transaction reporting systems, and regular internal reviews.

Although there are no legal penalties for violating the Wolfsberg Principles, their importance stems from their effectiveness in strengthening banks' internal controls and developing their practices in combating money laundering. Table 5 illustrates the Wolfsberg Principles.

#### Section Three: Security Council Resolution 1373 (2001)

Security Council Resolution 1373 was adopted on September 28, 2001, following the September 11 terrorist attacks in the United States. This resolution marks a milestone in international efforts to combat terrorism and its financing, as it imposed binding legal obligations on all member states of the United Nations [7].

Resolution 1373 focused specifically on combating the financing of terrorism, but it also included provisions related to money laundering, as money laundering is often linked to the financing of terrorist activities. Among the most important provisions of this resolution are:

• Criminalization of Terrorism Financing: The resolution obligated states to criminalize the financing of terrorism and freeze the financial assets of individuals and entities involved in terrorist activities.

• **Information Exchange:** The resolution called on states to exchange information about terrorists and terrorist organizations, and to enhance international cooperation in investigations and prosecutions.

• **Border Control:** The resolution emphasized the need to strengthen border controls to prevent the movement of terrorists and dry up their sources of funding.

• Combating Money Laundering: The resolution obligated states to strengthen their laws and procedures to combat money laundering and prevent the use of financial systems to finance terrorist activities.

Security Council Resolution 1373 has contributed to strengthening the international legal framework for combating money laundering and terrorist financing, and has prompted many countries to enact national legislation consistent with its provisions. This resolution is evidence of the international community's commitment to combating these cross-border crimes [7].

[5] Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, Basel Recommendations (1988), Basel II, Basel IV. [6] Wolfsberg Anti-Money Laundering Principles, October 2000. [7] Security Council Resolution 1373, United Nations, September 28, 2001.

#### Conclusion

Money laundering is a global challenge that requires a coordinated and effective international response. This research has shown that the international community has come a long way in developing legal and legislative frameworks to combat this crime, starting from the United Nations Convention Against Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances of 1988, through the Council of Europe Convention of 1990, to the comprehensive recommendations issued by the Financial Action Task Force (FATF), the Basel and Wolfsberg Principles, and Security Council Resolution 1373. These international instruments form a complex network of obligations and guidelines aimed at criminalizing money laundering, facilitating the confiscation of illicit funds, and enhancing international cooperation in information exchange and mutual legal assistance.

Despite the progress made, significant challenges remain in the efforts to combat money laundering. The rapid development of technology and the emergence of new money laundering methods, such as the use of cryptocurrencies and digital platforms, require continuous updating of legislation and regulatory mechanisms. Furthermore, the disparity in the level of adherence to international standards among countries, and the existence of safe havens for illicit funds, hinder global efforts to effectively combat this crime. Combating money laundering is not merely a legal issue; it is also an economic and social matter that requires strong political commitment and close cooperation among governments, financial institutions, the private sector, and civil society.

#### Recommendations

Based on the findings of this research, the following recommendations are presented to enhance efforts to combat money laundering at national and international levels:

- 1. **Continuous Updating of Legislation:** States must continuously review and update their national legislation to keep pace with developments in money laundering methods, especially concerning modern financial technologies such as cryptocurrencies.
- 2. Strengthening International Cooperation: Mechanisms for international cooperation in information exchange, mutual legal assistance, and extradition must be strengthened by activating existing international agreements and concluding new ones when necessary.
- 3. Effective Implementation of International Standards: All states must commit to effectively implementing the recommendations of the Financial Action Task Force (FATF) and the Basel and Wolfsberg Principles, and provide the necessary resources to ensure compliance.
- 4. **Capacity Building:** States must invest in building the capacities of institutions involved in combating money laundering, including financial intelligence units, law enforcement agencies, and the judiciary, by providing specialized training and modern technology.
- 5. **Increased Awareness:** Public awareness of the risks of money laundering and its negative impacts on society and the economy must be increased, and reporting of suspicious activities encouraged.
- 6. Focus on Risk-Based Approach: Financial institutions and regulatory authorities must adopt a risk-based approach to combating money laundering, allowing for more effective allocation of resources to address higher risks.
- 7. Enhancing Transparency: Transparency in financial systems must be enhanced, including beneficial ownership registries for companies and legal entities, to prevent their use for concealing illicit funds.

Combating money laundering is an ongoing battle that requires continuous vigilance and adaptation. By implementing these recommendations, the international community can enhance its ability to protect its financial system from this serious crime and contribute to building a safer and more just world.

### References

[1] United Nations Convention Against Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances, Vienna, 1988.

[2] Declaration of the Organization, Twentieth Special Session of the United Nations General Assembly, New York, June 10, 1998.

[3] Council of Europe Convention on Laundering, Search, Seizure and Confiscation of the Proceeds from Crime, Strasbourg, 1990.

[4] Financial Action Task Force (FATF), The Forty Recommendations on Combating Money Laundering, Terrorist Financing and Proliferation Financing, various revisions (1996, 2003, 2012).

[5] Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, Basel Recommendations, Basel, 1988.

[6] Wolfsberg Group, Wolfsberg Anti-Money Laundering Principles, October 2000.

[7] United Nations Security Council, Resolution 1373, September 28, 2001.

[8] Financial Action Task Force (FATF), "Guidance on the Risk-Based Approach for the Banking Sector," 2014.

[9] United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC), "Global Programme against Money Laundering," 2005.

[10] European Union, Directive (EU) 2015/849 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 May 2015.

[11] Egmont Group, "Best Practices for the Exchange of Information Between Financial Intelligence Units," 2013.

[12] FATF, "Methodology for Assessing Technical Compliance with the FATF Recommendations," 2013.

[13] UNODC, "Model Law on Money Laundering and Financing of Terrorism," 2009.

[14] International Monetary Fund (IMF), "Anti-Money Laundering and Combating the Financing of Terrorism," Washington, 2011.

[15] World Bank, "Stolen Asset Recovery Initiative (StAR): Challenges, Opportunities, and Action Plan," 2007.

[16] FATF, "Mutual Evaluation Reports," 2015–2022.

[17] Basel Institute on Governance, "Anti-Money Laundering Index," Annual Reports 2012–2023.

[18] Transparency International, "Corruption Perceptions Index," Annual Reports 2010–2023.

[19] Interpol, "Money Laundering and Financial Crime," Fact Sheets, 2020.

[20] UNODC, "The Globalization of Crime: A Transnational Organized Crime Threat Assessment," 2010.

[21] FATF, "Virtual Assets and Virtual Asset Service Providers: Guidance," 2019.

[22] European Commission, "Action Plan for a Comprehensive Union Policy on Preventing Money Laundering and Terrorist Financing," 2020.

[23] Bank for International Settlements, "Sound Management of Risks Related to Money Laundering," 2013.

[24] World Bank, "Financial Sector Assessment Program (FSAP)," Country Reports, 2010–2023.

[25] OECD, "The Role of Beneficial Ownership Transparency in Fighting Financial Crimes," 2021.

[26] UNODC, "Anti-Money Laundering Measures and the Financing of Terrorism," Technical Guide, 2005.

[27] FATF, "Terrorist Financing Risk Assessment Guidance," 2019.

[28] UN General Assembly, "Resolution on Strengthening International Cooperation to Combat Money Laundering," 2000.

[29] International Monetary Fund, "Suppressing the Financing of Terrorism: A Handbook," 2003.

[30] International Criminal Police Organization (Interpol), "Criminal Money Flows on the Internet," 2021.

#### Appendices

	(1): Summary of Paragraph One of UNSC Resolution 1575 (2001)
No.	Measure
1	Criminalization of terrorism financing and related money laundering
2	Freezing of terrorist funds and assets without delay
3	Preventive measures to be taken by financial institutions and businesses
4	Institutional and other necessary measures in anti-money laundering systems
5	Regulation of value transfer services
6	Regulation of wire transfers
7	Control of cash couriers
8	Regulation of non-profit organizations
9	Criminalization of assistance and support for terrorism

#### (1): Summary of Paragraph One of UNSC Resolution 1373 (2001)

## (2): Summary of Paragraph Two of UNSC Resolution 1373 (2001)

No.	Measure
1	Suppression of recruitment
2	Elimination of terrorist access to weapons
3	Taking necessary steps to prevent and provide early warning of criminal acts
4	Denial of safe haven
5	Prevention of the use of national territory for terrorist purposes
6	Exceptional criminal procedures and related safeguards
7	Jurisdictional authority
8	International legal cooperation
9	Effective border control and related matters

## (3): Summary of Paragraph Three of UNSC Resolution 1373 (2001)

No.	Measure
1	Exchange of information
2	Multilateral and bilateral agreements
3	Ratification of international instruments for counter-terrorism
4	Measures concerning refugees and asylum seekers
5	Non-application of the political offence exception